

Rhodes University Policy on Research Ethics

Animal subjects

Policy Volume	1
Policy Chapter	Not applicable
Responsible Committee/Unit/Division/Faculty	Rhodes University Animal Research Ethics Committee (RU-AREC)
Responsible Chairperson/Director/Manager	Rhodes University Animal Research Ethics Committee (RU-AREC)
Dates of First and Subsequent Council Approvals	
Policy Approval Pathways (e.g. committee, Senex, Senate, Council)	Senate
Revision History: Approved Reviews	
Review Cycle (e.g. every 2/5/7 years etc)	Every four years or as necessary
Commencement date	1 August 2019 or the earliest and feasible date thereafter.
Next Review Date	1 st August 2023

1. POLICY PARTICULARS

1.1. Policy Title	Rhodes University Policy on Research Ethics – Animal subjects
1.2. Policy Statement	In establishing this policy, Rhodes University, a university which
(State in a single	measures itself against the highest international standards of academic
paragraph the policy	and professional practice, sets a clear statement for the consideration of
mandate and how this	ethical requirements, and corresponding procedures to meet those
relates to the University	requirements, on the use of animals in research and teaching across the
•	•
Mission and Vision)	whole University.
1.3. Reason for Policy	Animal welfare deserves the highest respect. Ethically correct conduct is
(What this policy aims to	thus of utmost importance for all activities under the auspices of Rhodes
achieve)	University. This policy succeeds previous policies to establish a Rhodes
	University Ethics committee from 2 November 1984, guidelines
	approved by Senate on 26 November 1986, and Rhodes University Policy
	on Ethics approved by Senate on 21 November 2014.
1.4. Policy Objective/s	(a) Rhodes University Animal Research Ethics Committee (RU-AREC) aims
(What are the	to provide uniform and effective protection of animals that are
measurable objectives of	associated with Rhodes University and any of its activities. Ethical
this policy)	considerations include (not exclusively) the minimisation of physical,
,	psychological, social or financial risks, and the fulfilment of moral and
	legal standards.
	(b) This policy concentrates on ethical considerations in research and
	teaching activities involving animals. Further aspects of institutional
	ethics, ethical conduct, and ethics as an academic discipline or part of
	thereof are not covered by this policy.
	(c) It is incumbent upon the whole University to make staff, students and
	any other parties involved in University activities aware of this policy.
	The University must ascertain that, where applicable, all involved
	individuals are competent to maintain the standards outlined in this
	policy and the relevant protocols on ethics.
	(d) Ethical considerations are universal and have to be prioritised against
	any other interest.
	(e) Nothing in this policy document should be interpreted as relieving a
	University academic or support staff member(s), undergraduate or
	postgraduate student(s), postdoctoral fellow(s) or (senior) researcher
	associate(s) of any obligations acquired as a result of membership of a
	professional or other association. However, adherence to a professional
	code of ethics does not in itself override the obligation to observe this
	_
1 E Boonlo offeeted by	policy and the relevant protocols on ethics. Academic or support staff member(s), undergraduate or postgraduate
1.5. People affected by	student(s), postdoctoral fellow(s) or (senior) researcher associate(s)
this Policy (e.g. All units of the University)	associated with Rhodes University using animals in research and/or
oj tile Olliversity)	• •
	teaching activities. These stakeholders are referred to as RU stakeholders in further text of this policy.
1.6. Who should read	RU stakeholders and RU-AREC members.
this Policy (People who	NO Starcholders and NO-AREC Members.
need to heed this policy	
to fulfil their duties)	
1.7. Implementers of	RU-AREC, driven by its Executive Committee (EXCO) in collaboration with
1.7. implementers of	NO-ANEC, UTIVELLED ITS EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE (EXCO) III COMBOUTATION WITH

this Policy (Who will	the DVC: Research and Development, and all relevant RU stakeholders.
manage the	
implementation of this	
policy)	
1.7 Website	http://www.ru.ac.za/institutionalplanningunit/policies/policiesa-z
address/link for this	
Policy	

2. RELATED DOCUMENTS FORMS AND TOOLS

(University Policies, Protocols and Documents (such as rules/policies/protocols/guidelines related to this policy)

Relevant Legislation (Legislation/Regulatory requirements/Organisational Reports - name these)

Agricultural Research Act, 1990 (Act No. 86 of 1990), as amended

Animal Diseases Act, 1984 (Act No. 35 of 1984), as amended

Animal Health Act, 2002 (Act No. 7 of 2002), as mended

Animal Improvement Act, 1998 (Act No. 62 of 1998)

Animal Matters Amendment Act, 1993 (Act No. 42 of 1993), as amended

Animal Protection Act 1962 (Act no. 17 of 1962), as amended

Marine Living Resources Act, 1998 (Act No. 18 of 1998)

Medicines and Related Substances Control Act, 1965 (Act No. 101 of 1965), as amended

National Environmental Management Act, 2008 (Act No. 62 of 2008), as amended

Performing Animals Protection Act, 1935 (Act no. 24 of 1935), as amended

South African National Standard no. 10379:2005

South African National Standard no. 10386:2019

Societies for Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, 1993 (Act no. 169 of 1993), as amended

Professional Code of Ethics of the African Association of Zoos and Aquaria, as amended

Veterinary and Para-veterinary Professions Act, 1982 (Act No. 19 of 1982), as amended

Related Policies

Terms of Reference of RU-AREC

Related Protocols

All relevant SOPs of the RAUREC and the relevant Rhodes University departments

Forms and Tools (documents to be completed in support of this policy implementation)

E.g. Policy template for the policy itself. Documents pertaining to procedures for implementation, as well as monitoring and evaluation of the policy.

All relevant SOPs of the RAUREC and the relevant Rhodes University departments and proof of competence/accredited certificates of training in animals ethics that has been undertaken by RU-AREC members/RU stakeholders

3. POLICY DEFINITIONS

3.1	Animal	This definition is in accordance with the definition of 'animal' in the
		SANS:10386 (2008) and updated versions thereof. Directly quoted
		from the SANS:10386: "live, sentient non-human vertebrate,

		including eggs, foetuses and embryos, that is; fish, amphibians, reptiles, birds and mammals, and encompassing domestic animals, purpose-bred animals, farm animals, wildlife" "and higher invertebrates such as the advanced members from the Cephalopoda and Decapoda"
		Please note that primates are not within the scope of this policy, and special rights and duties apply to those species. RU stakeholders who plan to conduct research involving primates shall liaise with the RU-AREC as early as possible. In the case of 'lower' invertebrates, .the same procedure is advised, but different ethical considerations will apply.
3.2	Animal Ethics	The ethical principles that underpin the use of animals in research and teaching activities, incorporating the core ethical principles of i. Replacement of the use of animals with alternative models where feasible, ii. Reduction of the number of individual animals used to achieve
		the same level of scientific rigour and/or to achieve the goal of research and teaching with animals, iii. Refinement of experimental design, procedures, care and husbandry, to minimise or eliminate the impact on individual animals in terms of actual or potential pain, suffering, (dis)stress, and lasting harm, and iv. Responsibility : Who takes care of animals/insentient material in the experiments instead of sentient animals (tissue cultures which have been ethically obtained).
3.3	Ethics application	The document or set of documents describing the research or teaching activity requested for ethical approval. The ethics application normally consists of a form and appendices. Some other sources use the term 'research proposal' or 'teaching proposal' indirectly for an ethics application.
3.4	Ethical approval	The support of an ethics committee to undertake the activity proposed in the Ethics application, normally expressed in form of a letter. Some other sources use the term 'ethical clearance' synonymously to 'ethical approval'.
3.5	Ethics review	The process of reviewing an ethics application aimed to obtain approval by an ethics committee.
3.6	Invertebrate	Any member of the kingdom Animalia, excluding those members of the Phylum Chordata, the Class Cephalopoda and the Order Decapoda.
3.7	Laboratory animal	Animal (refer to 3.1) kept and used for scientific purposes within the confinement of secure physical boundaries of a research animal facility.
3.8	Methodology and protocol	A theoretical underpinning or justification for the choice of methods or approach and a set of rules for the processes applied in the research. Different disciplines do, however, have very different definitions on the details and the structures of a 'methodology' or a 'protocol'. This policy uses the term 'methodology' as a broad understanding of the conceptual and the empirical setup of the research and the term 'protocol' for the processes applied, particularly with respect to the collection of data. In this understanding a 'protocol' forms part of the 'methodology'. (Please

		note the difference between a research protocol and the protocol
		appended to a policy)
3.9	NHREC	The National Health Research Ethics Council of South Africa. The body
		with whom the RU-AREC is registered.
3.10	Principal	The Principal Investigator (PI) is the RU stakeholder heads the
	Investigator	research project and takes the responsibility for conducting the
		research and/or teaching activities involving animals.
3.11	Researcher	The term 'researcher' encompasses all individuals who are actively
		involved in research with animals and they are an RU stakeholder
3.12	SOP	Standard Operating Procedures documents that relate to the use of
		animals in research and teaching at Rhodes University.
3.13	Teaching	Any class activity, such as lecture demonstrations, laboratory
		practicals, and student projects, and including field-based classwork
		and practicals that involve the use of animal and involve or are
		carried out by RU stakeholders.
3.14	Teacher and	The person conducting teaching with animals and who is an RU
	lecturer	stakeholder. For this policy 'teacher' will be used synonymously for
		'lecturer'.

4. PRINCIPLES GOVERNING THIS POLICY

SCOPE

4.1	A formal ethical consideration (with approval by the RU-AREC) is required for:
	a) research involving animals and invertebrates,
	b) research using animal biological material,
	c) research that might compromise individual, institutional, or public integrity when
	being performed or published,
	d) teaching involving animals for study or demonstration purposes and/or research,
	and
	e) teaching using animals that may cause health and safety risks for the involved
	individuals beyond what is covered by legal or professional standards.
4.2	Ethical aspects have to be considered for all kinds of research or teaching activities that
	a) are conducted by RU stakeholders, and/or
	b) use infrastructure of Rhodes University, and/or
	c) use participants from amongst RU stakeholders, and/or
	d) are conducted on behalf of or affiliated to Rhodes University.
4.3	The "Rhodes University Policy on Research Ethics – Animal subjects" (hereafter referred to
	as the RU Policy on Animal Ethics) and the relevant protocols are binding upon all Rhodes
	University staff and students as well as externals falling under the scope of this policy.

5. POLICY IMPLEMENTATION

5.1	In standing with SABS requirements, Rhodes University (RU) establishes an independent standing committee, the 'Rhodes University Animal Research Ethics Committee' (RU-AREC).
5.2	RU-AREC aims to ensure that all activities involving animals and invertebrates on campus are performed in a responsible way and meet contemporary ethical standards. This is particularly relevant for research and teaching involving animals and invertebrates.
5.3	In order to act in itself ethically, RU-AREC is an independent committee, not directly

	controlled by any superior instance in terms of animal ethics. RU-AREC commits itself to national and international ethical principles and standards, to its policy and protocols on ethics, and to Rhodes University rules and standards for all administrative issues.
5.4	RU-AREC has operational reporting line to the DVC: Research and Development; and to Senate of Rhodes University through the approval/updates of the RU-AREC Terms of Reference and internal annual reporting. In case of conflicts of interest or other ethical concerns arising with the Senate of Rhodes University, RU-AREC shall report to Rhodes University Council.
5.5	RU-AREC is the official RU body for ethical considerations on the use of animals and invertebrates, and no other internal or external body may be applied in its place. However, the RU-AREC may appoint other internal or external expertise for facilitation.
5.6	The decisions of the RU-AREC are binding for the Rhodes University and all relevant RU stakeholders.

6. ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

6.1	RU has a responsibility to:	
	(a) Ensure, through the operation of RU-AREC, that all activities involving the care and use of animals comply with NHREC regulations and national standards for the use of animals in research.	
	(b) Promote compliance with the contemporary standards and regulations governing the use of animals in research and teaching.	
	(c) Ensure and support the effective operation of the RU-AREC.	
	(d) Identify clear lines of responsibility, communication, and accountability.	
	(e) Ensure that all people involved in the care and use of animals understand their responsibilities and the requirements of the contemporary regulations and standards, have the necessary skills and knowledge, and have access to appropriate educational programs and/or resources.	
	(f) Regularly monitor and review the institution's compliance with the national standards and requirements of the NHREC through the annual or incident reports made by the RU-AREC to Senate.	
6.2	Primary responsibility for ensuring that the Policy and Protocols on Animal Ethics are adhered to rests with the PI.	
6.3	Supervisors and superiors on all levels are requested to develop awareness and to provide support to their students and co-workers for ethical considerations, as part of their guiding responsibility.	
6.4	RU-AREC shall provide	
	(a) animal ethics policies, SOPs, guidelines and policy briefs/interpretations as necessary,	
	(b) education and information dissemination on animal ethics,	
	(c) critical review of all institutional research and teaching practices with respect to animal ethics,	
	(d) review and approval of research projects and teaching with respect to animal ethics, and	

	(e) any other service to support animal ethical considerations within the scope of RU-AREC to all members of the Rhodes University community and for all activities using animals that fall under the ethical responsibility of Rhodes University.
6.5	The Rhodes University community at large is requested to critically reflect on animal ethical issues within the University and communicate these to RU-AREC for consideration.
6.6	RU-AREC has discretion in applying the RU Policy on Animal Ethics where exceptional circumstances or common-sense dictate, provided that the basic principles underlying the policy are not compromised.
6.7	RU-AREC provide support for further ethical questions regarding animal usage as far as expertise is available, e.g. with respect to institutional ethics, ethical conduct, and Animal Ethics as part of an academic discipline.

7. PROCESSES

7.1	Researchers who intend to perform research involving animals and invertebrates shall obtain ethical approval from RU-AREC prior to data collection.
7.2	Teachers preparing teaching or any class projects that will utilise animals or invertebrates shall obtain ethical approval from RU-AREC prior to the commencement of classes or project-work.
7.3	This policy shall be made available to all RU stakeholders, and shall be considered by Heads of Departments, Deans and Senior Management in the scope of the academic project at Rhodes University and all its aspects which are relevant to animal ethics.

8. ETHICAL REVIEW

8.1	Any intended research, teaching or other activity that falls under the scope of this policy has to be approved by the RU-AREC.
8.2	An application for ethical approval, signed by the PI, has to be submitted to RU-AREC. Work on the project may not proceed before the approval of the application is given by the RU-AREC through the online ERAS system.
8.3	In student research, students cannot take the role of a PI. This is normally the Supervisor or another senior researcher, who is an RU stakeholder, submits the ethics application on the students behalf.
8.4	In teaching, the course-coordinator normally takes the role of the PI and the individual lecturers act as Co-Investigators.
8.6	The basic ethical objectives are (a) minimising the risks associated with an activity and (b) the benefits must expectedly outweigh the risks. The application must provide clear information for evaluating both objectives.
8.7	A research/teaching methodology and/or protocol(s) are required to review an application which involves the use of animals in research or teaching by RU stakeholders. This is necessary in order to evaluate risks/harms and benefits of the proposed activity as well as to consider if risks are minimal with respect to what can be achieved (e.g. by remedial measures) without significantly compromising the outcome.
8.8	No external ethics committees might be approached for ethical approval by the applicant instead of RU-AREC. If the project has been evaluated by another NHREC registered ethics committee at another institution, the RU-AREC will evaluate the approval by this committee and has the authority to decide whether to accept the external institution's approval in lieu

	of conducting a full ethical approval process.
8.9	The conduct of the review of applications should be collegiate, with the aim of facilitating
	research and teaching.

9. ETHICAL CONDUCT OF RESEARCH / TEACHING

9.1	The conduct of research and teaching activities involving the care and use of animals for scientific purposes must comply with the approved protocol and all standard and specific conditions.
9.2	The RU-AREC monitors compliance by reviewing annual and final reports for all approved projects and by any other means deemed necessary or appropriate, such as random audits (which may involve inspection of animals and associated records used in research and teaching activities).
9.3	All members of a research team have shared responsibility for the ethical and humane use of animals, and must be aware of and satisfied with the degree to which the conduct of the activity meets legislative requirements and RU policy.
9.4	The PI has to ensure that occupational safety and health safety requirements are met for all involved staff, researchers, facilitators and participants. Particular attention has to be given to processes and conditions that do not fall under set categories and where occupational and safety standards have to be applied in analogy to existing rules and regulations.
9.5	The Principal Investigator or Teacher in charge has ultimate responsibility for the care and use of animals and is responsible for ensuring that all personnel involved are competent, receive appropriate training for the procedures they perform, and are adequately supervised by a competent person.
9.6	Principal Investigators must ensure that all relevant permits (e.g. collection permits from provincial government agencies) and Gatekeepers' permission are obtained prior to the commencement of the projects.

10. REPORTING

10.1	Any adverse effects, changes, discontinuation and unforeseen events, that occur in research and teaching involving animals and where RU stakeholders are involved, must be immediately reported to RU-AREC.
10.2	The RU-AREC will investigate, and attempt to satisfy, objections or concerns that were raised with respect to ethical standards in any ongoing or completed project. The respective SOP of RU-AREC must be adhered for the particulars.
10.3	RU-AREC may withdraw approval. In this case it has to inform the Principal Investigator and the hosting institution about the decision made and the reasons. The project or the teaching unit, respectively, must then be discontinued immediately.
10.4	A brief report must be submitted to the relevant committee on the completion of every research programme that has been granted ethical approval. The report should include a very brief summary of results and conclusions obtained; importantly, whether there were any unforeseen and undesirable consequences and if so, the steps that were taken to rectify them.
10.5	The report will be kept with the application and the committee reserves the right to access the research findings.
10.6	RU-AREC provides annual reports to the Senate covering ethics matters around animal usage in research and teaching at Rhodes University. The reports will also include any other information that the RU-AREC Chairperson deems relevant.

11. PUBLICATIONS

11.1	Results must not be exaggerated or filtered so as to make funding more likely or a
	submission more attractive to editors.
11.2	Results should be published if they have academic merit. Withholding, changing or toning down the content are not acceptable practices. Sponsors should not be allowed to comment on results prior to publication. They may not veto, change conclusions, or delay publication. Such aspects should be formalised in a written contract. Publication should proceed regardless of outcomes of the research.
11.3	Authorship and acknowledgements: All persons who have contributed to the originality of the publication shall be listed as authors. Individuals or institutions that have actively supported the research shall be acknowledged in the paper. Individuals or institutions that have supported the research financially must be acknowledged in the publication in order to provide transparency with respect to possible conflicts of interest.
11.4	It is advised to mention in the publication that ethics approval has been obtained and from which committee this was issued.

12. POLICY REVIEW PROCEDURE

The RU-AREC reconsiders the appropriateness of the Ethics Policy every four years, or as necessary. All Rhodes University standing committees and individuals are welcome to suggest revisions of the policy.

The RU-AREC's recommendations are submitted to Senate and Council for discussion and notification/approval. RU-AREC will carefully consider any feedback from Senate and Council. All revisions that are approved by RU-AREC will be included in a revised copy of policy which will be distributed amongst the University via Internet. Senior Management, Deans and all Heads of Departments will be notified of the revision.

Communication of the review process

The review of the policy will be made known to the Senate and Faculty Boards at the commencement of the review process.

13. POLICY CONTEXT: RELEVANT DOCUMENTS CITED/CONSULTED/ADOPTED

1	Guidelines on Ethics For Medical Research: Use of Animals in Research and Training (Book 3)
	South African MRC 2004
2	South African National Standard: The Care and Use of Experimental Animals Standards SA.
	SANS 10386:2008
3	Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals: Eighth Edition, Institute for Laboratory
	Animal Research, National Research Council of the National Academies, National Academies
	Press 2011