

Equivalence of Control Systems on the Euclidean Group $SE(2)$

Ross M. Adams*, Rory Biggs and Claudiu C. Remsing

Department of Mathematics (Pure and Applied)
Rhodes University

Joint Congress of SAMS and AMS, Port Elizabeth
20 November - 3 December, 2011

Outline

- 1 Introduction
 - Control systems
 - State space equivalence
- 2 Systems on $SE(2)$
 - The Euclidean group
 - Equivalence of systems
- 3 Conclusion

- 1 Introduction
 - Control systems
 - State space equivalence
- 2 Systems on $SE(2)$
 - The Euclidean group
 - Equivalence of systems
- 3 Conclusion

Control systems

(Smooth) control system $\Sigma = (G, \Xi)$

- Family of (smooth) vector fields parametrised by controls

$$\dot{g} = \Xi(g, u), \quad \Xi : G \times U \rightarrow TG$$

- G state space
- U input space.

State space equivalence

- Equivalence up to coordinate change in the state space.
- One-to-one correspondence between trajectories.

LiCA systems

Left-invariant control affine system $\Sigma = (G, \Xi)$

- G is a matrix Lie group
- the dynamics

$$\Xi : G \times \mathbb{R}^\ell \rightarrow TG$$

is **left invariant**

$$(g, u) \mapsto \Xi(g, u) = g\Xi(\mathbf{1}, u)$$

- the parametrisation map

$$\Xi(\mathbf{1}, \cdot) : \mathbb{R}^\ell \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$$

is **affine**

$$u \mapsto A + u_1 B_1 + \dots + u_\ell B_\ell \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

Trace

- The **trace** Γ of the system Σ is

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma &= \text{im}(\Xi(\mathbf{1}, \cdot)) \subset \mathfrak{g} \\ &= A + \Gamma^0 \\ &= A + \langle B_1, \dots, B_\ell \rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Σ is called

- **homogeneous** if $A \in \Gamma^0$
- **inhomogeneous** if $A \notin \Gamma^0$.

- Σ has **full rank** provided the Lie algebra generated by Γ equals the whole Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}

$$\text{Lie}(\Gamma) = \mathfrak{g}.$$

Trajectories

Admissible controls

- Piecewise continuous mappings

$$u(\cdot) : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell.$$

Trajectory

- Absolutely continuous curve

$$g(\cdot) : [0, T] \rightarrow G$$

satisfying a.e.

$$\dot{g}(t) = \Xi(g(t), u(t)).$$

State space equivalence

State space equivalence

$\Sigma = (G, \Xi)$ and $\Sigma' = (G, \Xi')$ are (locally) state space equivalent if

- they have the same input space
- exists a (local) diffeomorphism $\phi : N \rightarrow N'$ s.t.

$$T_g \phi \cdot \Xi(g, u) = \Xi'(\phi(g), u)$$

for $g \in N$ and $u \in \mathbb{R}^\ell$.

Remark

Σ and Σ' are equivalent at any two points \iff they are equivalent at $\mathbf{1} \in G$.

Equivalence results

State space equivalence

The following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} N \times \mathbb{R}^\ell & \xrightarrow{\phi \times \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}^\ell}} & N' \times \mathbb{R}^\ell \\ \Xi \downarrow & & \downarrow \Xi' \\ TN & \xrightarrow{\tau\phi} & TN' \end{array}$$

Proposition (Biggs/Rensing, 2012)

$$\begin{array}{l} \Sigma \text{ and } \Sigma' \\ \text{are equivalent} \end{array} \iff \begin{array}{l} \exists \psi \in \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g}) \text{ s.t.} \\ \psi \cdot \Xi(\mathbf{1}, u) = \Xi'(\mathbf{1}, u) \\ \text{for all } u \in \mathbb{R}^\ell. \end{array}$$

- 1 Introduction
 - Control systems
 - State space equivalence
- 2 Systems on SE(2)
 - The Euclidean group
 - Equivalence of systems
- 3 Conclusion

The Euclidean group SE(2)

Euclidean group

$$\text{SE}(2) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \mathbf{v} & R_\theta \end{bmatrix} \in \text{GL}(3, \mathbb{R}) \mid \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 1} \text{ and } R_\theta \in \text{SO}(2) \right\}$$

$$\mathbf{v} = \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } R_\theta = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{bmatrix}.$$

Lie algebra

$$\mathfrak{se}(2) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ x_1 & 0 & -x_3 \\ x_2 & x_3 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \mid x_1, x_2, x_3 \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{se}(2)$

- **Standard basis** for $\mathfrak{se}(2)$ is

$$E_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad E_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad E_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

- $[E_2, E_3] = E_1$, $[E_3, E_1] = E_2$, $[E_1, E_2] = 0$.

- With respect to this basis, **Aut($\mathfrak{se}(2)$)** is

$$\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} x & y & v \\ -\varsigma y & \varsigma x & w \\ 0 & 0 & \varsigma \end{bmatrix} \mid x, y, v, w \in \mathbb{R}, x^2 + y^2 \neq 0, \varsigma = \pm 1 \right\}.$$

Matrix representation

Notation

- A system $\Sigma = (\text{SE}(2), \Xi)$ specified by

$$\Xi(\mathbf{1}, u) = \sum_{i=1}^3 a_i E_i + u_1 \sum_{i=1}^3 b_i E_i + u_2 \sum_{i=1}^3 c_i E_i + u_3 \sum_{i=1}^3 d_i E_i$$

will be represented as

$$\left[\begin{array}{c|ccc} a_1 & b_1 & c_1 & d_1 \\ a_2 & b_2 & c_2 & d_2 \\ a_3 & b_3 & c_3 & d_3 \end{array} \right].$$

Classification of systems: general approach

Procedure

- Make classifying conditions
(depends on commutator relations and $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$).
- Apply successive automorphisms
(simplify an arbitrary system).
- Verify distinct classes
(check equivalence representatives are indeed distinct).

Single-input systems

Proposition

Every single-input (inhomogeneous) system is equivalent to exactly one of the following systems

$$\Sigma_{1,\alpha}^{(1,1)} : \alpha E_3 + u E_2$$

$$\Sigma_{2,\alpha\gamma}^{(1,1)} : E_2 + \gamma_1 E_3 + u(\alpha E_3).$$

Here $\alpha > 0$ and $\gamma_1 \in \mathbb{R}$, with different values of these parameters yielding distinct (non-equivalent) class representatives.

Single-input systems: proof sketch

Proof sketch (1/4)

- Consider arbitrary Σ

$$\left[\begin{array}{c|c} a_1 & b_1 \\ a_2 & b_2 \\ a_3 & b_3 \end{array} \right].$$

Case 1 : $b_3 = 0$ and $a_3 \neq 0$.

- $$\left[\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & -\frac{a_1}{a_3} \\ 0 & 1 & -\frac{a_2}{a_3} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{c|c} a_1 & b_1 \\ a_2 & b_2 \\ a_3 & 0 \end{array} \right] = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} 0 & b_1 \\ 0 & b_2 \\ a_3 & 0 \end{array} \right].$$

Single-input systems: proof sketch, cont.

Proof sketch (2/4)

- For $\alpha = \text{sgn}(a_3)a_3 > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} b_2 & -b_1 & 0 & 0 \\ \text{sgn}(a_3)b_1 & \text{sgn}(a_3)b_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \text{sgn}(a_3) & a_3 \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{c|c} b_1 & \\ b_2 & \\ 0 & \end{array} \right] \\ &= \left[\begin{array}{c|c} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \text{sgn}(a_3)(b_1^2 + b_2^2) \\ \alpha & 0 \end{array} \right] \end{aligned}$$

- $\left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} \frac{\text{sgn}(a_3)}{b_1^2 + b_2^2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\text{sgn}(a_3)}{b_1^2 + b_2^2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \alpha \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{c|c} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \text{sgn}(a_3)(b_1^2 + b_2^2) \\ \alpha & 0 \end{array} \right] = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ \alpha & 0 \end{array} \right].$
- Thus $\Sigma (b_3 = 0)$ is equivalent to $\Sigma_{1,\alpha}^{(1,1)} : \alpha E_3 + uE_2.$

Single-input systems: proof sketch, cont.

Proof sketch (3/4)

Case 2 : $b_3 \neq 0$.

- Similarly applying successive automorphisms shows any such Σ is equivalent to

$$\left[\begin{array}{c|c} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ \gamma_1 & \alpha \end{array} \right]$$

$\gamma_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\alpha > 0$.

Single-input systems: proof sketch, cont.

Proof sketch (4/4)

- Assume $\Sigma_{1,\alpha}^{(1,1)}$ and $\Sigma_{1,\alpha'}^{(1,1)}$ are equivalent

$$\begin{bmatrix} x & y & v \\ -\varsigma y & \varsigma x & w \\ 0 & 0 & \varsigma \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ \alpha & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} v\alpha & y \\ w\alpha & \varsigma x \\ \varsigma\alpha & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ \alpha' & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\implies \alpha = \alpha'.$$

- Similarly, $\Sigma_{2,\alpha\gamma}^{(1,1)}$ and $\Sigma_{2,\alpha'\gamma'}^{(1,1)}$ are equivalent only if $\alpha = \alpha'$ and $\gamma = \gamma'$.
- $uE_2 \in \langle E_1, E_2 \rangle$ and $u(\alpha E_3) \notin \langle E_1, E_2 \rangle \implies \Sigma_{1,\alpha}^{(1,1)}$ and $\Sigma_{2,\alpha'\gamma'}^{(1,1)}$ cannot be equivalent.

Two-input systems

Proposition

Every two-input homogeneous system is equivalent to exactly one of the following systems

$$\Sigma_{1,\alpha\gamma}^{(2,0)} : \gamma_1 E_2 + \gamma_2 E_3 + u_1(\alpha E_3) + u_2 E_2$$

$$\Sigma_{2,\alpha\gamma}^{(2,0)} : \gamma_1 E_2 + \gamma_2 E_3 + u_1(E_2 + \gamma_3 E_3) + u_2(\alpha E_3).$$

Here $\alpha > 0$ and $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3 \in \mathbb{R}$, with different values of these parameters yielding distinct (non-equivalent) class representatives.

Two-input systems: proof sketch

Proof sketch (1/4)

- Consider arbitrary Σ

$$\left[\begin{array}{c|cc} a_1 & b_1 & c_1 \\ a_2 & b_2 & c_2 \\ a_3 & b_3 & c_3 \end{array} \right].$$

Case 1 : $c_3 \neq 0$

- $$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -\frac{c_1}{c_3} \\ 0 & 1 & -\frac{c_2}{c_3} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \left[\begin{array}{c|cc} a_1 & b_1 & c_1 \\ a_2 & b_2 & c_2 \\ a_3 & b_3 & c_3 \end{array} \right] = \left[\begin{array}{c|cc} a'_1 & b'_1 & 0 \\ a'_2 & b'_2 & 0 \\ a_3 & b_3 & c_3 \end{array} \right].$$

Two-input systems: proof sketch, cont.

Proof sketch (2/4)

- For $\alpha = \text{sgn}(c_3)c_3 > 0$ and $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3 \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left[\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} \frac{\text{sgn}(c_3)b'_2}{b_1'^2+b_2'^2} & -\frac{\text{sgn}(c_3)b'_1}{b_1'^2+b_2'^2} & 0 & a'_1 & b'_1 & 0 \\ -\frac{b'_1}{b_1'^2+b_2'^2} & \frac{b'_2}{b_1'^2+b_2'^2} & 0 & a'_2 & b'_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \text{sgn}(c_3) & a_3 & b_3 & c_3 \end{array} \right] \\
 &= \left[\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} \frac{a'_1 b'_2 - a'_2 b'_1}{b_1'^2 + b_2'^2} & 0 & 0 & & & \\ \gamma_1 & 1 & 0 & & & \\ \gamma_2 & \gamma_3 & \alpha & & & \end{array} \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

- Thus Σ is equivalent to $\Sigma_{2,\alpha\gamma}^{(2,0)}$.

Two-input systems: proof sketch, cont.

Proof sketch (3/4)

Case 2 : $c_3 = 0$ and $b_3 \neq 0$

- Similarly application of successive automorphisms shows any such Σ is equivalent to

$$\left[\begin{array}{c|cc} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \gamma_1 & 0 & 1 \\ \gamma_2 & \alpha & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

$\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\alpha > 0$.

Two-input systems: proof sketch, cont.

Proof sketch (4/4)

- Assume $\Sigma_{1,\alpha\gamma}^{(2,0)}$ and $\Sigma_{1,\alpha'\gamma'}^{(2,0)}$ are equivalent.

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} x & y & v \\ -s y & s x & w \\ 0 & 0 & s \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \gamma_1 & 0 & 1 \\ \gamma_2 & \alpha & 0 \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} y\gamma_1 + v\gamma_2 & v\alpha & y \\ s x \gamma_1 + w \gamma_2 & w\alpha & s x \\ s \gamma_2 & s\alpha & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \gamma'_1 & 0 & 1 \\ \gamma'_2 & \alpha' & 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$\implies \alpha = \alpha' \text{ and } \gamma = \gamma'.$$

- Similarly, $\Sigma_{2,\alpha\gamma}^{(2,0)}$ and $\Sigma_{2,\alpha'\gamma'}^{(2,0)}$ are equivalent only if $\alpha = \alpha'$ and $\gamma = \gamma'$.
- $u_2 E_2 \in \langle E_1, E_2 \rangle$ and $u_2(\alpha E_3) \notin \langle E_1, E_2 \rangle \implies \Sigma_{1,\alpha\gamma}^{(2,0)}$ cannot be equivalent to $\Sigma_{2,\alpha'\gamma'}^{(2,0)}$.

Two-input systems

Proposition

Every two-input inhomogeneous system is equivalent to exactly one of the following systems

$$\Sigma_{1,\alpha\beta\gamma}^{(2,1)} : \alpha E_3 + u_1(E_1 + \gamma_1 E_2) + u_2(\beta E_2)$$

$$\Sigma_{2,\alpha\beta\gamma}^{(2,1)} : \beta E_1 + \gamma_1 E_2 + \gamma_2 E_3 + u_1(\alpha E_3) + u_2 E_2$$

$$\Sigma_{3,\alpha\beta\gamma}^{(2,1)} : \beta E_1 + \gamma_1 E_2 + \gamma_2 E_3 + u_1(E_2 + \gamma_3 E_3) + u_2(\alpha E_3).$$

Here $\alpha > 0, \beta \neq 0$ and $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3 \in \mathbb{R}$, with different values of these parameters yielding distinct (non-equivalent) class representatives.

Three-input systems

Proposition

Every three-input (homogeneous) system is equivalent to exactly one of the following systems

$$\Sigma_{1,\alpha\beta\gamma}^{(3,0)} : \gamma_1 E_1 + \gamma_2 E_2 + \gamma_3 E_3 \\ + u_1(\alpha E_3) + u_2(E_1 + \gamma_4 E_2) + u_3(\beta E_2)$$

$$\Sigma_{2,\alpha\beta\gamma}^{(3,0)} : \gamma_1 E_1 + \gamma_2 E_2 + \gamma_3 E_3 \\ + u_1(E_1 + \gamma_4 E_2 + \gamma_5 E_3) + u_2(\alpha E_3) + u_3(\beta E_2)$$

$$\Sigma_{3,\alpha\beta\gamma}^{(3,0)} : \gamma_1 E_1 + \gamma_2 E_2 + \gamma_3 E_3 \\ + u_1(E_1 + \gamma_4 E_2 + \gamma_5 E_3) + u_2(\beta E_2 + \gamma_6 E_3) + u_3(\alpha E_3).$$

Here $\alpha > 0, \beta \neq 0$ and $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \gamma_4, \gamma_5, \gamma_6 \in \mathbb{R}$, with different values of these parameters yielding distinct (non-equivalent) class representatives.

- 1 Introduction
 - Control systems
 - State space equivalence
- 2 Systems on $SE(2)$
 - The Euclidean group
 - Equivalence of systems
- 3 Conclusion

Remarks






Classification

- Number of parameters large.
- Classification feasible on lower-dimensional Lie groups.

Alternative equivalences

- Global state space equivalence.
- Detached feedback equivalence.
- SE(2): local classification is the same as a global one.

References

-  A.A. AGRACHEV AND Y.L. SACHKOV, *Control Theory from the Geometric Viewpoint*, Springer-Verlag, 2004.
-  R. BIGGS AND C.C. REMSING, On the equivalence of control systems on Lie groups (submitted).
-  B. JAKUBCZYK, Equivalence and Invariants of Nonlinear Control Systems, in *Nonlinear Controllability and Optimal Control* (H.J. Sussmann, ed.), M. Dekker, 1990, pp. 177-218.
-  V. JURDJEVIC, *Geometric Control Theory*, Cambridge University Press, 1997.
-  V. JURDJEVIC AND H.J. SUSSMANN, Control systems on Lie groups, *J. Diff. Equations* **12**(1972), 313-329.