

On the Equivalence of Control Systems on Lie Groups

Rory Biggs* and Claudiu C. Remsing

Department of Mathematics (Pure and Applied)
Rhodes University

Joint Congress of SAMS and AMS, Port Elizabeth
29 November - 3 December, 2011

Outline

- 1 Introduction
 - Control systems
 - Equivalence of control systems
- 2 Invariant systems and equivalence
 - Left-invariant control systems
 - State space equivalence
 - Detached feedback equivalence
- 3 Conclusion
 - Summary
 - Final remark

Outline

- 1 Introduction
 - Control systems
 - Equivalence of control systems
- 2 Invariant systems and equivalence
 - Left-invariant control systems
 - State space equivalence
 - Detached feedback equivalence
- 3 Conclusion
 - Summary
 - Final remark

Control systems

(Smooth) control system $\Sigma = (M, \Xi)$

$$\dot{x} = \Xi(x, u), \quad x \in M, u \in U.$$

state space M

input space U

dynamics

$$\Xi : M \times U \rightarrow TM$$

smooth manifolds

family of smooth vector fields
on M , parametrised smoothly

Trajectories and controllability

Admissible controls $u(\cdot) : [0, T] \rightarrow U$

- piecewise continuous U -valued maps.

Trajectory $g(\cdot) : [0, T] \rightarrow M$

- absolutely continuous curve satisfying (a.e.)

$$\dot{x}(t) = \Xi(x(t), u(t)).$$

Σ is controllable

For all $x_0, x_1 \in M$, there exists a trajectory $x(\cdot)$ such that

$$x(0) = x_0 \quad \text{and} \quad x(T) = x_1.$$

Equivalence of control systems

State space equivalence (S -equivalence)

- Equivalence up to coordinate changes in the state space.
- One-to-one correspondence between trajectories.
- Well understood.
- Very strong equivalence relation.

Feedback equivalence (F -equivalence)

- Weaker relation.
- Crucial role in control theory – esp. in *feedback linearization*.

Outline

- 1 Introduction
 - Control systems
 - Equivalence of control systems
- 2 Invariant systems and equivalence
 - Left-invariant control systems
 - State space equivalence
 - Detached feedback equivalence
- 3 Conclusion
 - Summary
 - Final remark

Left-invariant control systems

Left-invariant control system $\Sigma = (G, \Xi)$

- Evolves on a (real) Lie group G .
- Dynamics is invariant under left translations, i.e.,

$$\Xi(g, u) = T_1 L_g \cdot \Xi(\mathbf{1}, u) = g \Xi(\mathbf{1}, u).$$

- **Parametrisation map** $\Xi(\mathbf{1}, \cdot) : U \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ is an embedding.
- **Trace** $\Gamma = \text{im } \Xi(\mathbf{1}, \cdot) \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$.

Remark

- $T_1 G = \mathfrak{g}$.
- Trivialise tangent bundle: $TG \cong G \times \mathfrak{g}$.

Left-invariant control affine systems

Left-invariant control **affine** systems

- Dynamics affine:

$$\Xi : \mathbf{G} \times \mathbb{R}^\ell \rightarrow TG$$

$$(g, u) \mapsto g(A + u_1 B_1 + \cdots + u_\ell B_\ell).$$

- Γ is an affine subspace of \mathfrak{g} .
- Extensively used in many practical control applications.

Category of left-invariant control systems

Category LiCS

- Object: left-invariant control system $\Sigma = (G, \Xi)$.
- Morphism $\Phi : \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma'$: smooth map

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi &= (\phi, \varphi) : G \times U \rightarrow G' \times U' \\ &(g, u) \mapsto (\phi(g), \varphi(g, u)) \end{aligned}$$

such that following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G \times U & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & G' \times U' \\ \Xi \downarrow & & \downarrow \Xi' \\ TG & \xrightarrow{T\phi} & TG' \end{array}$$

A useful restriction

If $\Sigma = (G, \Xi)$ is controllable

- G is connected.
- $\text{Lie}(\Gamma) = \mathfrak{g}$.

Assumption

Systems are **connected** and have **full rank**.

State space equivalence

Local state space equivalence (S_{loc} -equivalence)

$\Sigma = (G, \Xi)$ and $\Sigma' = (G', \Xi')$ are **S_{loc} -equivalent** if

- they have the same input space U
- exists a (local) diffeomorphism $\phi : N \rightarrow N'$ such that

$$T_g \phi \cdot \Xi(g, u) = \Xi'(\phi(g), u)$$

for $g \in N$ and $u \in U$.

State space equivalence (S -equivalence)

This happens globally (i.e., $N = G$, $N' = G'$).

State space equivalence

Commutative diagram (S_{loc} -equivalence)

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 N \times U & \xrightarrow{\phi \times \text{id}_U} & N' \times U \\
 \cong \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong' \\
 TN & \xrightarrow{T\phi} & TN'
 \end{array}$$

May assume N and N' are open neighbourhoods of identity.

- Left translation $L_a : g \mapsto ag$ defines S_{loc} -equivalence.

Characterisation of S_{loc} -equivalence

Theorem

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Sigma \text{ and } \Sigma' & & \psi : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}' \\ S_{loc}\text{-equivalent} & \iff & \psi \cdot \Xi(\mathbf{1}, u) = \Xi'(\mathbf{1}, u) \end{array}$$

Proof sketch

Assume $\phi : N \rightarrow N'$, $\phi_* \Xi_u = \Xi'_u$.

- $\phi_*[\Xi_u, \Xi_v] = [\phi_* \Xi_u, \phi_* \Xi_v]$.
- $\Gamma = \{\Xi_u \mid u \in U\}$ generates \mathfrak{g} .
- $T_1 \phi$ is the required isomorphism.

Assume $\psi \cdot \Xi(\mathbf{1}, u) = \Xi'(\mathbf{1}, u)$

- Exists a local isomorphism $\phi : N \rightarrow N'$ such that $T_1 \phi = \psi$.
- Simple calculation shows ϕ defines S_{loc} -equivalence.

Characterisation of S-equivalence

Theorem

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Sigma \text{ and } \Sigma' & & \phi : \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}' \\ \text{S-equivalent} & \iff & T_1\phi \cdot \Xi(\mathbf{1}, u) = \Xi'(\mathbf{1}, u) \end{array}$$

Corollary

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \Sigma \text{ and } \Sigma' \\ \mathbf{G} \text{ and } \mathbf{G}' \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{l} S_{loc}\text{-equivalent} \\ \text{simply connected} \end{array} \Rightarrow \Sigma \text{ and } \Sigma' \text{ S-equivalent}$$

Detached feedback equivalence

Local detached feedback equivalence (DF_{loc} -equivalence)

$\Sigma = (G, \Xi)$ and $\Sigma' = (G', \Xi')$ are DF_{loc} -equivalent if

- exists a (local) diffeomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi = \phi \times \varphi : N \times U &\rightarrow N' \times U' \\ (g, u) &\mapsto (\phi(g), \varphi(u)) \end{aligned}$$

such that

$$T_g \phi \cdot \Xi(g, u) = \Xi'(\phi(g), \varphi(u))$$

for $g \in N$ and $u \in U$.

Detached feedback equivalence

Commutative diagram (DF_{loc} -equivalence)

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 N \times U & \xrightarrow{\phi \times \varphi} & N' \times U' \\
 \equiv \downarrow & & \downarrow \equiv' \\
 TN & \xrightarrow{T\phi} & TN'
 \end{array}$$

Detached feedback equivalence (DF -equivalence)

This happens globally (i.e., $N = G$, $N' = G'$).

Characterisation of DF_{loc} -equivalence

Reparametrisations

$\widehat{\Sigma} = (G, \widehat{\Xi})$ is a **reparametrisation** of $\Sigma = (G, \Xi)$ if $\widehat{\Gamma} = \Gamma$.

Any DF_{loc} -equivalence can be decomposed into

- a reparametrisation
- and a S_{loc} -equivalence.

Theorem

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Sigma \text{ and } \Sigma' & & \psi : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}' \\ DF_{loc}\text{-equivalent} & \iff & \psi \cdot \Gamma = \Gamma' \end{array}$$

Characterisation of DF_{loc} -equivalence

Proof sketch

Assume Σ and Σ' are equivalent.

- Exists reparametrisation $\hat{\Sigma}$ (of Σ) S_{loc} -equivalent to Σ' .
- $\psi \cdot \hat{\Xi}(\mathbf{1}, u) = \Xi'(\mathbf{1}, u)$.
- Now $\hat{\Gamma} = \Gamma$, so $\psi \cdot \Gamma = \Gamma'$.

Assume $\psi \cdot \Gamma = \Gamma'$.

- We construct reparametrisation $\hat{\Sigma}'$ of Σ' such that
- $\psi \cdot \Xi(\mathbf{1}, u) = \hat{\Xi}'(\mathbf{1}, u)$.
- Σ and $\hat{\Sigma}'$ are S_{loc} -equivalent.
- Σ and Σ' are DF_{loc} -equivalent.

Characterisation of DF -equivalence

Theorem

$$\begin{array}{l} \Sigma \text{ and } \Sigma' \\ DF\text{-equivalent} \end{array} \iff \begin{array}{l} \phi : \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}' \\ T_1\phi \cdot \Gamma = \Gamma' \end{array}$$

Corollary

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \Sigma \text{ and } \Sigma' \text{ } DF_{loc}\text{-equivalent} \\ \mathbf{G} \text{ and } \mathbf{G}' \text{ simply connected} \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \begin{array}{l} \Sigma \text{ and } \Sigma' \\ DF\text{-equivalent} \end{array}$$

Outline

- 1 Introduction
 - Control systems
 - Equivalence of control systems
- 2 Invariant systems and equivalence
 - Left-invariant control systems
 - State space equivalence
 - Detached feedback equivalence
- 3 Conclusion
 - Summary
 - Final remark

Summary

Tabulation of results

	Characterisation	
S-equiv	$T_1\phi \cdot \Xi(\mathbf{1}, \cdot) = \Xi'(\mathbf{1}, \cdot)$	$\phi : G \rightarrow G'$
DF-equiv	$T_1\phi \cdot \Gamma = \Gamma'$	
S_{loc} -equiv	$\psi \cdot \Xi(\mathbf{1}, \cdot) = \Xi'(\mathbf{1}, \cdot)$	$\psi : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}'$
DF_{loc} -equiv	$\psi \cdot \Gamma = \Gamma'$	

Final remark





Classification of affine systems (under DF_{loc} -equivalence)

Classification of **systems**
reduces to
classification of **affine subspaces**

$$\Sigma \sim \Sigma' \iff \Gamma \sim \Gamma'.$$

- Classification of subclasses of systems feasible.
- Classified all systems evolving on 3D Lie groups.

References

-  A.A. AGRACHEV AND Y.L. SACHKOV,
Control Theory from the Geometric Viewpoint,
Springer-Verlag, 2004.
-  R. BIGGS AND C.C. REMSING,
A category of control systems,
to appear in *An. Șt. Univ. Ovidius Constanța* **20**(1)(2012).
-  R. BIGGS AND C.C. REMSING,
On the equivalence of control systems on Lie groups,
to appear in *Balkan J. Geometry Appl.* **17**(1)(2012).
-  V. JURDJEVIC,
Geometric Control Theory,
Cambridge University Press, 1997.

Example

Heisenberg group

$$H_3 = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & y & x \\ 0 & 1 & z \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \mid x, y, z, \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

Lie algebra \mathfrak{h}_3

$$E_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad E_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad E_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$[E_2, E_3] = E_1, \quad [E_3, E_1] = 0, \quad [E_1, E_2] = 0.$$

Example

Classification of affine subspaces of \mathfrak{h}_3

$$\Gamma_1 = E_2 + \langle E_3 \rangle$$

$$\Gamma_2 = \langle E_2, E_3 \rangle$$

$$\Gamma_3 = E_1 + \langle E_2, E_3 \rangle$$

$$\Gamma_4 = E_3 + \langle E_1, E_2 \rangle$$

Classification of systems $\Sigma = (H_3, \Xi)$, under DF_{loc} -equivalence

$$\Xi_1(g, u) = g(E_2 + uE_3)$$

$$\Xi_2(g, u) = g(u_1 E_2 + u_2 E_3)$$

$$\Xi_3(g, u) = g(E_1 + u_1 E_2 + u_2 E_3)$$

$$\Xi_4(g, u) = g(E_3 + u_1 E_1 + u_2 E_3)$$