

On the Equivalence of Cost-Extended Control Systems on Lie Groups

Rory Biggs* and Claudiu C. Remsing

Department of Mathematics (Pure and Applied)
Rhodes University, Grahamstown 6140, South Africa

WSEAS International Conference on DYNAMICAL SYSTEMS and CONTROL, Porto, Portugal, July 1–3, 2012

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Equivalence
- 3 Pontryagin lift

1 Introduction

2 Equivalence

3 Pontryagin lift

Left-invariant control affine systems

System $\Sigma = (G, \Xi)$

$$\dot{g} = \Xi(g, u) = g(A + u_1 B_1 + \cdots + u_\ell B_\ell), \quad g \in G, u \in \mathbb{R}^\ell$$

state space G

- Lie group with Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}

dynamics Ξ

- family of smooth left-invariant vector fields

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi : G \times \mathbb{R}^\ell &\rightarrow TG \cong G \times \mathfrak{g} \\ (g, u) &\mapsto g\Xi(\mathbf{1}, u) \in T_g G \end{aligned}$$

Trajectories

Admissible controls $u(\cdot) : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$

- piecewise continuous \mathbb{R}^ℓ -valued maps.

Trajectory $g(\cdot) : [0, T] \rightarrow G$

- absolutely continuous **curve** satisfying (a.e.)

$$\dot{g}(t) = \Xi(g(t), u(t)).$$

Pair $(g(\cdot), u(\cdot))$ is called a **controlled trajectory**.

Invariant optimal control problem

Problem

Minimize $\mathcal{J} = \int_0^T \chi(u(t)) dt$ over controlled trajectories of Σ
subject to boundary data.

$$\chi : u \mapsto (u - \mu)^\top Q(u - \mu), \quad u, \mu \in \mathbb{R}^\ell, \quad Q \text{ is PD.}$$

Formal statement

$$\begin{cases} \dot{g} = g(A + u_1 B_1 + \cdots + u_\ell B_\ell), & g \in G \\ g(0) = g_0, & g(T) = g_1 \\ \mathcal{J} = \int_0^T (u(t) - \mu)^\top Q(u(t) - \mu) dt \rightarrow \min. \end{cases}$$

Cost-extended systems

Aim

Introduce **cost-equivalence**.

Cost-extended system (Σ, χ)

A pair, consisting of

- a **system** Σ
- an admissible **cost** χ .

(Σ, χ) + **boundary data** = **optimal control problem**.

Outline

1 Introduction

2 Equivalence

3 Pontryagin lift

Cost equivalence

Cost equivalence (C-equivalence)

(Σ, χ) and (Σ', χ') are **C-equivalent** if there exist

- a Lie group isomorphism $\phi : \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}'$
- an affine isomorphism $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^\ell \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\ell'}$

such that

$$T_g \phi \cdot \Xi(g, u) = \Xi'(\phi(g), \varphi(u)) \quad \text{and} \quad \chi' \circ \varphi = r\chi$$

for some $r > 0$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{G} \times \mathbb{R}^\ell & \xrightarrow{\phi \times \varphi} & \mathbf{G}' \times \mathbb{R}^{\ell'} \\ \Xi \downarrow & & \downarrow \Xi' \\ T\mathbf{G} & \xrightarrow{T\phi} & T\mathbf{G}' \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{R}^\ell & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \mathbb{R}^{\ell'} \\ \chi \downarrow & & \downarrow \chi' \\ \mathbb{R} & \xrightarrow{\delta_r} & \mathbb{R} \end{array}$$

Virtually optimal and extremal trajectories

Controlled trajectory $(g(\cdot), u(\cdot))$ over interval $[0, T]$.

VOCTs and ECTs

- **Virtually optimal controlled trajectory (VOCT)**
 - solution to associated optimal control problem with boundary data $(g(0), g(T), T)$.
- (Normal) **extremal controlled trajectory (ECT)**
 - satisfies conditions of **Pontryagin Maximum Principle**.

Theorem

If (Σ, χ) and (Σ', χ') are *C-equivalent* (w.r.t. ϕ, φ), then

- $(g(\cdot), u(\cdot))$ is a VOCT $\iff (\phi \circ g(\cdot), \varphi \circ u(\cdot))$ is a VOCT
- $(g(\cdot), u(\cdot))$ is an ECT $\iff (\phi \circ g(\cdot), \varphi \circ u(\cdot))$ is an ECT.

Proposition

(Σ, χ) and (Σ', χ') are *C-equivalent* for **some** χ'
if and only if

there *exists* *LGrp-isomorphism* $\phi : \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}'$ such that $T_1\phi \cdot \Gamma = \Gamma'$.

trace $\Gamma = \text{im } \Xi(\mathbf{1}, \cdot) = A + \langle B_1, \dots, B_\ell \rangle$

Proposition

(Σ, χ) and (Σ, χ') are *C-equivalent*
if and only if

there *exists* $\varphi \in \mathcal{T}_\Sigma$ such that $\chi' = r\chi \circ \varphi$ for some $r > 0$.

$$\mathcal{T}_\Sigma = \left\{ \varphi \in \text{Aff}(\mathbb{R}^\ell) : \begin{array}{l} \exists \psi \in d\text{Aut}(\mathbb{G}), \psi \cdot \Gamma = \Gamma \\ \psi \cdot \Xi(\mathbf{1}, u) = \Xi(\mathbf{1}, \varphi(u)) \end{array} \right\}$$

Two-input systems on the Euclidean group SE (2)

$$\text{SE}(2) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ x & \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ y & \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{bmatrix} : x, y, \theta \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

$$\mathfrak{se}(2) : \quad [E_2, E_3] = E_1 \quad [E_3, E_1] = E_2 \quad [E_1, E_2] = 0$$

Example

Any (full-rank) cost extended system (Σ, χ) on SE (2), where

$$\Xi(\mathbf{1}, u) = u_1 B_1 + u_2 B_2, \quad \chi = u^\top Q u$$

is **C-equivalent** to (Σ_1, χ_1) , where

$$\Xi_1(\mathbf{1}, u) = u_1 E_2 + u_2 E_3, \quad \chi_1(u) = u_1^2 + u_2^2.$$

Proof sketch

- Turns out that $d\text{Aut}(\text{SE}(2)) = \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{se}(2))$

$$\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{se}(2)) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} x & y & v \\ -\varsigma y & \varsigma x & w \\ 0 & 0 & \varsigma \end{bmatrix} : \begin{array}{l} x, y, v, w \in \mathbb{R}, \varsigma = \pm 1 \\ x^2 + y^2 \neq 0 \end{array} \right\}.$$

- There exists $\psi \in \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{se}(2))$ such that

$$\psi \cdot \langle B_1, B_2 \rangle = \langle E_2, E_3 \rangle = \Gamma_1.$$

- Hence (Σ, χ) is C -equivalent to (Σ_1, χ') for some χ' .

- $\mathcal{T}_{\Sigma_1} = \left\{ u \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} \varsigma x & w \\ 0 & \varsigma \end{bmatrix} u : x \neq 0, w \in \mathbb{R}, \varsigma = \pm 1 \right\}.$

- There exists $\varphi \in \mathcal{T}_{\Sigma_1}$ such that $\chi' \circ \varphi = r\chi_1$.

Two-input systems on the Euclidean group SE (2)

Example

Any (full-rank) cost extended system

$$\begin{cases} \Xi(\mathbf{1}, u) = A + u_1 B_1 + u_2 B_2, & A \notin \langle B_1, B_2 \rangle \\ \chi(u) = (u - \mu)^\top Q(u - \mu) \end{cases}$$

is C -equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} \Xi_1(\mathbf{1}, u) = E_1 + u_1 E_2 + u_2 E_3 \\ \chi_{1,\mu\beta}(u) = (u_1 - \mu_1)^2 + \beta(u_2 - \mu_2)^2, & \beta > 0, \mu \in \mathbb{R}^2 \end{cases}$$

or

$$\begin{cases} \Xi_{2,\alpha}(\mathbf{1}, u) = \alpha E_3 + u_1 E_1 + u_2 E_2, & \alpha > 0 \\ \chi_{2,\beta}(u) = u_1^2 + \beta u_2^2, & \beta \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Equivalence
- 3 Pontryagin lift**

Associated Hamilton-Poisson system

Let (Σ, χ) be a **cost-extended system** with

- $\Xi(\mathbf{1}, u) = A + u_1 B_1 + \cdots + u_\ell B_\ell$
- $\chi(u) = (u - \mu)^\top Q(u - \mu)$.

By application of **Pontryagin Maximum Principle**

Theorem

Any **ECT** of (Σ, χ) is given by

$$\dot{g}(t) = \Xi(g(t), u(t)), \quad u(t) = Q^{-1} \mathbf{B}^\top \widehat{p}(t)^\top.$$

- $\mathbf{B} = \begin{bmatrix} \widehat{B}_1 & \cdots & \widehat{B}_\ell \end{bmatrix}$ is $n \times \ell$ matrix
- $p(\cdot) : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_-^*$, is integral curve of

$$H(p) = \widehat{p} (\widehat{A} + \mathbf{B} \mu) + \frac{1}{2} \widehat{p} \mathbf{B} Q^{-1} \mathbf{B}^\top \widehat{p}^\top.$$

Linear equivalence (L-equivalence)

Hamilton-Poisson systems (\mathfrak{g}_-, G) and (\mathfrak{h}_-, H) are **L-equivalent** if

- there exists a **linear isomorphism** $\psi : \mathfrak{g}^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}^*$
- \vec{G} and \vec{H} are **compatible** with ψ .

Theorem

*If two cost-extended systems are C-equivalent,
then their
associated Hamilton-Poisson systems are L-equivalent.*

Example

Any Hamilton-Poisson system $(\mathfrak{se}(2)_-, H_Q)$

$$H_Q(p) = \hat{p} Q \hat{p}^T = [p_1 \quad p_2 \quad p_3] \begin{bmatrix} q_{11} & q_{12} \\ q_{12} & q_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} p_1 \\ p_2 \\ p_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

is *L-equivalent* to one of

$$H_0(p) = 0$$

$$H_1(p) = p_2^2$$

$$H_2(p) = p_3^2$$

$$H_3(p) = p_2^2 + p_3^2.$$

The cost-extended system (Σ_1, χ_1) on $\text{SE}(2)$,

$$\Xi_1(\mathbf{1}, u) = u_1 E_2 + u_2 E_3,$$

$$\chi_1(u) = u_1^2 + u_2^2$$

has associated Hamiltonian

$$\frac{1}{2} H_3(p) = \frac{1}{2} (p_2^2 + p_3^2).$$