

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/303924503>

On the Geometry of Control Systems: From Classical Control Systems to Control Structures

Presentation · June 2016

DOI: 10.13140/RG.2.1.4760.5366

CITATIONS

0

READS

38

1 author:



[Clauiu Cristian Remsing](#)

Rhodes University

66 PUBLICATIONS **303** CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)

Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:



Curves and surfaces in (low-dimensional) homogeneous spaces [View project](#)



Nonholonomic Riemannian Structures [View project](#)

On the Geometry of Control Systems

From Classical Control Systems to Control Structures

Claudiu C. Remsing

Geometry, Graphs and Control (GGC) Research Group
Department of Mathematics, Rhodes University
6140 Grahamstown, South Africa

4th International Conference on
Lie Groups, Differential Equations and Geometry
Modica, Italy, 8–15 June 2016

- Introduction
- Classical control systems
 - Linear control systems
 - Control affine systems
 - Fully nonlinear control systems
- Control systems on manifolds
 - Control affine systems
 - Families of vector fields
 - Control systems on Lie groups
- “Geometric” control systems
 - Control sections and trajectories
 - Equivalence
- Control structures

Automatic/feedback control

Automatic control (or feedback control) is an **engineering discipline**: its progress is closely tied up to the practical problems that needed to be solved during any phase of human history.

Key developments

- the preoccupation of the Greeks and Arabs with keeping accurate track of time
- the Industrial Revolution in Europe
- the beginning of mass communication (and the First and Second World wars)
- the beginning of the space/computer age

The classical period (c 1800 – c 1950)

- differential equations
 - GB AIRY: feedback device for pointing a telescope
 - JC MAXWELL: stability analysis (of Watt's flyball governor)
 - EJ ROUTH: numerical techniques
 - AM LYAPUNOV: stability of nonlinear ODEs
 - O HEAVISIDE: operational calculus
- frequency-domain analysis
 - HS BLACK: negative feedback
 - H NYQUIST: stability analysis
 - HW BODE: frequency response plots
- classical control
 - EA SPERRY: gyroscope
 - HL HAZEN: servomechanisms
 - stochastic techniques (in control and communication theory)

The modern period (c 1950 – present)

- time-domain design (for nonlinear systems)
- optimal control and estimation theory
 - RE BELLMAN: dynamic programming
 - LS PONTRYAGIN: maximum principle
 - RE KALMAN: linear quadratic regulator, discrete/continuous filters, time-varying systems, stochastic control
- digital control and filtering theory

Geometric control (c 1970 – present)

- pioneers: R HERMANN, R BROCKETT, H HERMES, C LOBRY
- **controllability**: V JURDJEVIC, HJ SUSSMANN, I KUPKA, JP GAUTHIER, B BONNARD
- **optimality**: AA AGRACHEV, YL SACHKOV, HJ SUSSMANN, ...
- **(feedback) equivalence**: A KRENER, P BRUNOVSKY, B JAKUBCZYK, W RESPONDEK
- various topics: V JURDJEVIC, AA AGRACHEV, H BOSCAIN, ...

Linear control system (Kalman, 1960)

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dx}{dt} &= Ax + Bu \\ &= Ax + u_1 b_1 + \cdots + u_\ell b_\ell, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^m, u \in \mathbb{R}^\ell\end{aligned}$$

- **state space:** \mathbb{R}^m
- **control set:** \mathbb{R}^ℓ
- $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ (matrix)
- $B = [b_1 \ \dots \ b_\ell] \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times \ell}$ (matrix)

Control affine system (Hermann and Krener, 1960's)

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = A(x) + u_1 B_1(x) + \cdots + u_\ell B_\ell(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^m, u \in \mathbb{R}^\ell$$

- **state space:** \mathbb{R}^m
- **control set:** \mathbb{R}^ℓ
- $A : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ (smooth map)
- $B_i : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m, \quad 1 \leq i \leq \ell$ (smooth map)

Fully nonlinear control system

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = F(x, u), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^m, u \in \mathbb{R}^\ell$$

- **state space:** \mathbb{R}^m
- **control set:** (open) subset of some \mathbb{R}^ℓ
- $F : \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^\ell \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ (smooth map)

Control affine system (Jurdjevic and Sussmann, 1972)

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = A(x) + u_1 B_1(x) + \cdots + u_\ell B_\ell(x), \quad x \in M, u \in \mathbb{R}^\ell$$

- **state space** M : smooth (finite-dimensional) manifold
- **control set**: \mathbb{R}^ℓ
- $A : M \rightarrow TM$ (smooth vector field)
- $B_i : M \rightarrow TM$, $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ (smooth vector fields)

Family of vector fields (Jurdjevic and Sussmann, 1972)

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = F(x, u), \quad x \in M, u \in U \left(\subseteq \mathbb{R}^\ell \right)$$

- **state space** M : smooth (finite-dimensional) manifold
- **input set** U : (open) subset of some \mathbb{R}^ℓ
- $F : M \times U \rightarrow TM$ (smooth map)
- (equivalently) $\mathfrak{F} = (F_u = F(\cdot, u))_{u \in U}$ (family of smooth vector fields on M)

Linear control system (Ayala and Tirao, 1999)

$$\frac{dg}{dt} = A(g) + u_1 B_1(g) + \cdots + u_\ell B_\ell(g), \quad x \in G, u \in \mathbb{R}^\ell$$

- **state space** G : (connected, finite-dimensional) Lie group
- **control set**: \mathbb{R}^ℓ
- A : “linear” vector field (**infinitesimal automorphism**: the flow is an one-parameter group of automorphisms)
- B_1, \dots, B_ℓ : left-invariant vector fields on G

Left-invariant control affine system (Jurdjevic and Sussmann, 1972)

$$\frac{dg}{dt} = g (A + u_1 B_1 + \cdots + u_\ell B_\ell), \quad g \in G, u \in \mathbb{R}^\ell$$

- **state space** G : (connected, finite-dimensional) Lie group
- **input set**: \mathbb{R}^ℓ
- $A \in \mathfrak{g}$ (left-invariant vector field)
- $B_1, \dots, B_\ell \in \mathfrak{g}$ (linearly independent left-invariant vector fields)
- the image of the (parametrization) map $u \mapsto A + u_1 B_1 + \cdots + u_\ell B_\ell$ is an **affine subspace** of (the Lie algebra) \mathfrak{g}

Left-invariant control system

$$\frac{dg}{dt} = g \Xi(u), \quad g \in G, u \in U$$

- **state space** G : (connected, finite-dimensional) Lie group
- **input set** U : open subset of some \mathbb{R}^ℓ (or smooth, finite-dimensional manifold)
- $\Xi : U \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ (smooth) injective immersion
- the image of the (parametrization) map Ξ is an **submanifold** of (the Lie algebra) \mathfrak{g}
- (equivalently) $\mathfrak{F} = (\Xi_u = \Xi(u))_{u \in U}$ (family of left-invariant vector fields on G)

“Geometric” control systems

Nonlinear control system (Willems, 1979; van der Schaft, 1982)

A (nonlinear) **control system** on M is (given by)

- (locally trivial) fiber bundle $\pi_C : C \rightarrow M$ over M (**control bundle**)
- (smooth) map $\Xi : C \rightarrow TM$ which preserves the fibers:

$$\Xi(\pi_C^{-1}(x)) \subseteq T_x M \quad (x \in M).$$

(equivalently, $\pi_{TM} \circ \Xi = \pi_C$.)

$$\Sigma_M : C \xrightarrow{\Xi} TM \rightarrow M$$

(M is a smooth, finite-dimensional manifold.)

Two special cases ($\Sigma_M : C \xrightarrow{\Xi} TM \rightarrow M$)

Family of vector fields

This case corresponds to the case when the control bundle C is **trivial**:

$$\pi_C : C = M \times U \rightarrow M \quad (\text{projection on the 1st factor}).$$

Control affine system

A (nonlinear) control system is a **control affine system** if

- the control bundle C is a **vector bundle**
- the map Ξ restricted to the fibers (of C) is an **affine** (immersive) **map** into the fibers of TM .

Remark

*Any regular **affine distribution** (in particular, vector distribution) on M can be regarded as a (nonlinear) control system.*

“Geometric” control systems

General control system (Tabuada and Pappas, 2005)

A (general) **control system** on M is (given by)

- fibered manifold $\pi_C : C \rightarrow M$ over M (**control bundle**)
- fiber preserving (smooth) map $\Xi : C \rightarrow TM$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C & \xrightarrow{\Xi} & TM \\ \pi_C \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_{TM} \\ M & \xlongequal{\quad} & M \end{array}$$

$$\Sigma_M : C \xrightarrow{\Xi} TM \rightarrow M$$

($\pi_C : C \rightarrow M$ is a surjective submersion)

The geometry of control systems: control sections

Control sections

A **control section** on M is a **fibered submanifold**

$$\pi_\Gamma : \Gamma \rightarrow M$$

of TM : Γ is a submanifold of TM such that the inclusion map $\iota : \Gamma \rightarrow TM$ is fiber preserving.

Traces of control system

The **trace** of the control system $\Sigma_M : C \xrightarrow{\Xi} TM \rightarrow M$ is the image set

$$\Gamma(\Sigma_M) := \Xi(C) \subseteq TM.$$

Fact

Under certain regularity assumptions, any control system Σ_M defines a control section $\Gamma = \Gamma(\Sigma_M)$.

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma(\Sigma_M) &= \bigcup_{x \in M} \Gamma(x) \quad (\text{submanifold}) \\ &= \bigcup_{x \in M} \Xi(\pi_C^{-1}(x)), \quad \Gamma(x) \subseteq T_x M\end{aligned}$$

The geometry of control systems: trajectories

Trajectories of control systems

A (smooth) curve $\gamma : I \subseteq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$ is called a **trajectory** of the control system $\Sigma_M : C \xrightarrow{\Xi} TM \rightarrow M$ if there exists a (not necessarily smooth) curve $\gamma^C : I \rightarrow C$ such that

$$\pi_C \circ \gamma^C = \gamma \quad \text{and} \quad \Xi \circ \gamma^C = T\gamma.$$

Note

In local (bundle) coordinates: a trajectory (of Σ_M) is a curve $x(\cdot) : I \rightarrow M$ for which there exists a function $u(\cdot) : I \rightarrow U$ satisfying the condition (equation)

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = \Xi(x, u).$$

The geometry of control systems: trajectories

ϕ -related control systems

Given a (smooth) map $\phi : M \rightarrow \bar{M}$, we say that the control systems Σ_M and Σ'_M are ϕ -related if

$$T_x\phi \cdot \Gamma(\Sigma_M)(x) \subseteq \Gamma(\Sigma'_M)(\phi(x)) \quad (x \in M).$$

$$\left(\Gamma(\Sigma_M)(x) = \Xi(\pi_C^{-1}(x)) \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma(\Sigma'_M)(\phi(x)) = \Xi'(\pi_{\bar{C}}^{-1}(\phi(x))) \right)$$

Fact: propagation of trajectories

The control systems Σ_M and Σ'_M are ϕ -related if and only if for every trajectory γ of Σ_M , $\phi \circ \gamma$ is a trajectory of Σ'_M .

The geometry of control systems: equivalence

The category of control systems

The **category of control systems** – **Con** – has

- as **objects** control systems $\Sigma_M : C \xrightarrow{\Xi} TM \rightarrow M$
- as **morphisms** pair of maps (ϕ, φ) such that

$$\phi \circ \pi_C = \pi_{\bar{C}} \circ \varphi \quad \text{and} \quad T\phi \circ \Xi = \Xi' \circ \varphi.$$

Σ_M and Σ'_M are (feedback) **equivalent** if (and only if)

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} C & \xrightarrow{\Xi} & TM & \longrightarrow & M \\ \varphi \downarrow & & \downarrow T\phi & & \phi \downarrow \\ \bar{C} & \xrightarrow{\Xi'} & T\bar{M} & \longrightarrow & \bar{M} \end{array}$$

The geometry of control systems: equivalence

Amenable control systems

A control system $\Sigma_M : C \xrightarrow{\Xi} TM \rightarrow M$ is called **amenable** if

- the map $\Xi : C \rightarrow TM$ is an **embedding**.

Result

Two amenable control systems defining the same control section are (feedback) equivalent.

(equivalence class) $[\Sigma_M] \longleftrightarrow \Gamma \subseteq TM$ (control sections)

Control structures: definition

We are interested in the geometry of submanifolds Γ of the [slit tangent bundle](#) TM^0 with the property that the base point mapping $\Gamma \rightarrow M$ is a surjective submersion.

Control structure (Bryant and Gardner, 1995)

A **control structure** (of [rank](#) m) on a smooth $(m + \ell)$ -dimensional manifold Γ is a pair $(\mathcal{I}, [\lambda])$, where

- \mathcal{I} is a [Pfaffian system](#) of rank $m - 1$
- λ is a [one-form](#) on Γ (well defined up to addition of an element of \mathcal{I})

with the property that

- the Pfaffian system \mathcal{J} spanned by \mathcal{I} and λ is everywhere of rank m and is [completely integrable](#).

Amenable control structure

- The leaf space $\Gamma/\mathcal{J} := M$ is called the **state space**.
- The control structure $(\mathcal{I}, [\lambda])$ is **amenable** if its state space is a **smooth manifold** (with its natural topology and smooth structure).

Fact

The slit tangent bundle of any smooth manifold has a canonical control structure.

Universality property

If $(\Gamma, \mathcal{I}, [\lambda])$ is an amenable control structure with state space M , then there exists a canonical smooth mapping $\Gamma \rightarrow TM^0$ which pulls back the universal control structure on TM^0 to the given structure.